

MIDWAY DPEIR COMMENT OUTLINE -- January 4, 2018 / jbh

Context

- Support and applaud City Staff and the Midway Group on their completion of the plan update
- PCPB comments limited to issues within and affecting the Peninsula Community Plan area, in advisory role and as notice to Peninsula residents
- Particular interest in traffic, freeway access, bikeway access

Documented Impact Issues

- Significant intersection impact at Nimitz / Rosecrans – Mitigation not proposed to fund
 - Mitigation: Add through lanes to both legs of Rosecrans; second left turn lane EB Rosecrans to NB Nimitz
 - [Compare current intersection improvement project and Rosecrans Corridor Study]
 - [Determine PCPB position – Partial? Add the LT lane to reduce queuing?]
- Significant intersection impact at Lytton / Rosecrans – Partial mitigation proposal proposed to fund
 - Full Mitigation: Add through lane WB Rosecrans; second left turn lane SB Lytton to EB Rosecrans; signal phase modification
 - Partial Mitigation: Add second left turn lane SB Lytton to EB Rosecrans; signal phase modification
 - [Determine PCPB position – Presume this is acceptable given fronting property impacts]
- Significant potential noise impact for ministerial residential projects on Rosecrans E/o Lytton
 - Impacts not mapped; within Peninsula Community Plan area
 - [Determine PCPB position – Presume this is acceptable in lieu of noise walls]
- Significant potential noise impact for ministerial residential projects on Midway S/o W. Pt. Loma
 - Impacts not mapped; within Peninsula Community Plan area
 - [Determine PCPB position – Presumed this is acceptable in lieu of noise walls]

Questions / Clarification Matters

- Apparent intent to carry-forward of I-8/I-5 “4th Leg” completion, misstated in document
 - Was this modeled in Mobility study?
 - If not, would it mitigate several congestion locations, including Rosecrans?
- Presumed zoning capacity established as “worst case” for SPAWAR, Post Office and Sports Arena sites in traffic and other studies. [Land use plan color matching error for CO 3-1 zone, Figure 3-5]
- No discussion of La Playa Trail wayfinding in Historical Resources element
- Discussion but no graphic defining bicycle connections, including a proposed ‘bay-to-bay’ alignment

Further Administrative Request

- Provide the PCPB with a projected ADT table or graphic for all major and arterial road segments within the Peninsula Community Plan, using the output of Mobility Study modeling