

Minutes of the June 7, 2023
Long Range Planning Committee
Peninsula Community Planning Board (PCPB)
Park PL Clubhouse, 2390 Caminito Argado

18:05 Call to order

Board Members Present: Javier Saunders, Brad Herrin, Sam Laub, Eric Law, Margaret Virissimo, Andrew Hollingworth. Appointed Community Members Present: Paul Grimes, Max Apodaca Committee members not present:, Jacqueline Greulich (C) Guests: Max Paulson, Mandy Havlik

B. Non-agenda public comments;

Andrew H. mentioned that required Board Training for new Community Planning Board members and annual training under CP 600- 24 is this coming Monday.

C. a. Update on Housing Package 2.0 and SB-10

Javier S. reported that at the June 1, Planning Commission hearing, that the Planning Commission requested for the Planning Department to revisit the breath and reach of SB-10, “missing middle” housing component of HAP 2.0. The Chair of the Planning Commission requested that SB-10 be removed from consideration but subsequently a motion was approved to narrow the reach of SB-10 to close proximity to transit and reduce density. The Planning Department is scheduled to return on August 4, 2023 with an update on SB-10.

The committee reviewed the draft letter to the Planning Commission in support of the removal SB-10 from HAP 2.0

The committee provided several suggestions to the letter:

Andrew H. requested that the “Subject” clearly state the Board was in opposition.

Andrew H. suggested that the committee add additional language from the Neighbors for a Better San Diego letter in opposition to SB-10.

Sam L. requested that the letter include the statement that numerous state and local studies have shown that few people will use transit if they have to walk more than one-half mile to transit.

The committee agreed to extending the draft letter with three additional comments from the Neighbors for a Better San Diego letter. SB-10 violates the climate action plan by encouraging dense development away from transit. SB-10 density exceeds the density of most apartment zoning, and additional language on SB-10’s impact to infrastructure.

The committee approved the draft letter with noted suggestions with a unanimous vote 6-0.

C. b. FY 24 Budget. Andrew H. Presented the committee with an update on the City’s FY 2024 budget to be presented to the City council on June 12 . Andrew provided the committee with a spread sheet with a summary of the budget requests made to Councilmembers Jen

Campbell office and/or City Staff for 2022 and 2023 and highlighted items included and not included in the budget. Attachment included. Andrew noted that many of the smaller dollar maintenance type items such as paving improvements, sidewalks, and lighting are included in annual appropriations and difficult to track. The Cannon Street Pocket Park is in the FY 2024 budget as a continuing appropriation with a need for additional funding. Andrew noted the IBA released changes to the budget, however no additional PCPB CIP items were added. Andrew stated that a significantly greater CIP budget is allocated to the Clairemont community than the Point Loma community.

No further action was recommended by the committee on the 2024 CIP budget requests, however it was suggested that the Long Range Planning Committee initiate CIP budget requests early in the budget cycle which starts in September.

C.c. SANDAG Central Mobility Hub (CMH) and Connections. Javier introduced the item and stated that SANDAG continues to move forward with initial studies for the location of a Port Transit Center(PTC) to be located at or near the existing Port Administration Building. The study also includes the local areas of influence (Midway, Liberty Station Rosecrans, Mission Hills, Old town). Of interest to our committee is the proposed transportation strategies and connections to the PTC which are proposed along Rosecrans and Liberty Station .

Max Apodaca provided a presentation on potential transportation improvements from the CMH draft report to improve connectivity to the PTC and reduce vehicle miles traveled in the area. Scenario 1 -this proposes to provide a dedicated bus lane along Rosecrans, add a protected bike lane and reduce vehicle traffic to one lane in each direction.

The committee raised concerns that the reduction of Rosecrans to one lane would not serve the community as proposed and create greater congestion. It was noted Rosecrans currently handles approximately 47,000 vehicles a day and congestion of the intersections is now operating at service levels of D and F during peak times.

The committee overall felt there was a benefit in revitalizing the Rosecrans Corridor and creating a more vibrant and walkable Rosecrans.

Max discussed the Fixed Guideway Concept 2, People Mover that would extend from the PTC and loop through Midway, Liberty Station, and North Harbor Drive The committee was interested in understanding more on where the proposed People Mover would be located in Liberty Station.

The committee took no action and elected to continue the discussion to gather additional information.

The meeting was adjourned at 19:55