

Peninsula Community Planning Board Minutes
August 18, 2011
Meeting Room Pt. Loma Library

I. Parliamentary items:

Meeting called to order by Chair S. Khalil approx. 6:34 pm with Pledge of Allegiance

(note: MSP = Moved, Seconded and Passed; MSF = Moved, Seconded and Failed)

- A. **PCPB Governance** – Chair noted that the meeting was being held under the PCPB By-Laws, the Brown Act, Council Policy 600-24, and Roberts Rules of Order.
- B. **Approval of Agenda** –G. Page i) requested Action Items on the agenda be presented directly after Parliamentary Items, and that Information Items be moved to later in the meeting after the Action Items, so that people with actual business before the board be allowed sufficient time to present. The motion by G. Page to make such changes to the agenda (with the exception of a presentation by San Diego County Tax Collector Dan McAllister) was seconded and approved MSP (**8** RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, PW) – (**2** AJ, PN) – (**2** NA, MR - both felt did not have enough information to take position); ii) moved that the Action Items from the July PCPB meeting regarding a) the appointment of community members to subcommittees, b) proposed By-Law changes, and c) the Jet Fuel Pipeline be moved to the front of the August meeting Action Items. This motion was seconded and approved MSP (**10** RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN) – (**0**) – (**2** MR - did not understand the issues sufficiently to vote, PW - did not want to vote on an item that included a request he was making later in this meeting); iii) asked why an item on the Chateau Beau Soleil was on the agenda when it had not been previously presented to the Project Review subcommittee – but when the Chair noted that this item had been tabled to a future meeting, this issue was dropped; iv) asked why our By-Laws require community members to present a resume to be accepted onto a subcommittee – and it was agreed that it would be discussed under the By-Laws discussion. [NOTE: H. Kinnaird joined the meeting at approximately 6:45 pm]. With those items above addressed, a motion by P. Nystrom to approve the Agenda as amended was seconded and approved MSP (**10** RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, MR) – (**2** PN, PW) – (**1** HK – had missed much of the discussion)
- C. **Attendance** – Board Members Present: S. Khalil, H. Kinnaird, R. Michael, J. Gott, N. Graham, P. Clark, G. Page, J. Ross, J. Shumaker, N. Allenby, A. Jones, P. Nystrom, M. Ryan, and P. Webb. Absent: C. Veum. Community Attendance – see sign-in sheet posted on PCPB web site.
- C. **Approval of Minutes** – After the Secretary noted modifications requested by S. Khalil, the minutes to the PCPB meeting on July 21, 2011 were presented by J. Gott for Board approval. A motion by P. Nystrom to approve the minutes, as amended, was seconded and they were approved MSP (**10** RM, JG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**3** HK, NG, AJ – all three were not in attendance at the July meeting).
- D. **Chair Report** – not given at this point in the meeting.
- E. **Treasurer** – N. Graham noted the current balance in the PCPB account was \$91.67. Also noted was that there was a “Donation Jar” on the sign-in table for contributions from the community. Additionally, H. Kinnaird noted that she had approached Councilman Faulconer to ask if he might be able to provide some funding to support the PCPB’s web site expenses as well as some other minor expenses, and that the Councilman had responded by noting that a formal request should be sent to his office and that he expected he would be able to assist the PCPB with its funding needs. A motion by H. Kinnaird to prepare a formal request to Councilman Faulconer for funding assistance was seconded and passed MSP (**13** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**0**).
- F. **Non Agenda Public Comment** – Jarvis Ross implored the board and public at large to stay aware of modifications being discussed for Balboa Park which, while outside of the Peninsula Community boundaries, is a public park that is enjoyed by all San Diegans. Mr. Ross also introduced Bruce Coons, Executive Director of SOHO (Save our Heritage Organization) which has proposed an alternative to those changes being promoted in the Sanders/Jacobs Plan. Mr. Ross requested the support of SOHO’s plans by the PCPB and by the community.

I. Information Items:

- B. **San Diego County Tax Collector (Dan McAllister) update:** Noted that his office maintains an “unclaimed money” list for the county – and, while noting examples of why money goes unclaimed, passed the list around to those in attendance. Mr. McAllister also provided some statistics on tax bills that the county sends out and the appeals process. Also, Mr. McAllister noted that during the 2nd week of March each year, they hold an auction of properties where property taxes over a 5-year period continued unpaid (this year around 150 properties, most of which were time share properties, were auctioned).

II. Consent Agenda:

G. Page expressed an objection to the use of a consent agenda – and thus the two items on the Agenda under Consent Agenda were rolled into Action Items.

III. Action Items (and items listed on the Agenda under Consent Agenda):

- A. **390 Rosecrans (DSD PTS #110331)** – Valerie Sherrif presented a request for support of an extension of time for their Coastal Development Permit, noting that the original permit request previously had been approved by the PCPB. While the property density limits would allow for up to 8 units, the plans remain to construct 4 units on the property. A motion by J. Shumaker to approve support of the extension of the CDP was seconded and approved MSP (**13** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**0**).
- B. **H2O Future's Waterhood Concept Design for NTC Boat Channel** – Ned Daugherty and Tershia d'Elgin, both of H2O Futures, reminded the audience of their presentation at July's PCPB meeting and noted that they have an opportunity to obtain funding from the California Coastal Conservancy for the purpose of initiating a feasibility study for the waterhood park. The presenters requested PCPB support for sending a letter (draft posted on the PCPB web site) to Mayor Sanders requesting his support of the feasibility study. After questions were asked and a discussion ensued, a motion by J. Shumaker to send the letter to Mayor Sanders requesting his support of the feasibility study was seconded and approved MSP (**10** HK, RM, JG, PC, GP, JR, JS, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**2** NG – was not at the last meeting to see presentation, NA – consults with H2O Futures).
- C. **By-Laws Amendment** – R. Michaels provided some of the history regarding why some of the sections of the PCPB By-Laws were being recommended for amendment, and then walked through each of the proposed changes. [note: there may be multiple areas within the By-Laws where referenced changes are made beyond the references to the Articles and Sections noted below; such changes will conform if they occur in multiple areas of the By-Laws.]
1. Article III, Section 2 – proposal to revise/clarify that a Recognized Community Member may become an Eligible Candidate by (among other requirements) attending one regular meeting, special meeting, or Candidate Forum meeting. A discussion ensued as to whether it was preferable for the threshold to be 2 or more meetings and whether or not the Candidate Forum should be an accepted meeting for attendance purposes. A motion to set the meeting number at one meeting and allow regular, special or Candidate Forum meetings for attendance purposes was seconded and approved MSP (**11** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**2** GP, JR) – (**0**).
 2. Article III, Section 2 – proposal to revise to confirm that such meeting attendance noted directly above must be within the twelve-month period prior to the March General Election with such attendance recognized in the minutes, in the public sign-in sheets or acknowledged by a standing PCPB member. After a discussion, a motion by H. Kinnaird to approve this proposal as presented was seconded and approved MSP (**13** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**0**).
 3. Article III, Section 2 – proposal to revise the requirements for a Recognized Community Member's affiliation with the community to expand and include not only a local business person, who is a local business or not-for-profit owner or operator, but also, alternatively, a designee of such business owner or operator. After discussion, a motion by G. Page to **deny the expansion of the definition and not include a designee** of an owner or operator was passed MSP (**8** HK, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, MR) – (**5** RM, NA, AJ, PN, PW) – (**0**).
 4. Article IV, Section 1 – proposal to revise/clarify that a vacancy on the Board due to excessive absences (either three consecutive or four cumulative) will be assessed based on attendance of regular monthly meetings of the PCPB (and not on special meetings or Candidate Forum meetings). After a discussion, a motion by N. Graham to accept the revision clarifying that absences would be calculated based on regular monthly PCPB meetings was seconded and approved MSP (**13** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**0**).
 5. Article IV, Section 2. - proposal to revise/clarify that votes by the Board to fill vacancies shall be by a Plurality Vote (versus Majority Vote). After a discussion, a motion by N. Graham to clarify that vacancy votes will be decided by a Plurality Vote was seconded and approved MSP (**8** HK, RM, JG, JR, NA, PN, MR, PW) – (**4** PC, GP, JS, AJ) – (**1** NG - reason not noted).
 6. Article VII, Section 1 – proposal to revise/clarify that votes for PCPB Officers shall be by a Majority Vote (versus Plurality Vote). After a discussion, a motion to clarify that votes for PCPB Officers shall be by a Majority Vote was seconded and passed MSP (**13** HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (**0**) – (**0**).

7. Article VIII, Section 1.(e) – proposal to revise and delete the requirement for the Voter Log (for General Elections) to not require the recordation of the voter’s address in an effort to protect voter privacy (while still requiring voters to demonstrate to polling personnel that their address is within the Planning Board area). After a discussion, a motion by H. Kinnaird to not require the recordation of voter addresses on the Voter Log was seconded and approved MSP (10 HK, RM, JG, NG, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (2 GP, JR) – (1 PC - reason not noted).
8. Article VI, Section 2. (b) – proposal to revise/clarify to note that all Standing Subcommittee and all Ad Hoc Subcommittee meetings are to be noticed. After a discussion, a motion by P. Webb to clarify to confirm that all subcommittee meetings are to be noticed was seconded and approved MSP (13 HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (0).

At this point – a discussion ensued regarding if there were other changes to the By-Laws that could also be made, but that were not presented (e.g.: the deletion of the requirement for community members to provide a resume in order to be considered for seats on subcommittees). A motion by G. Page that the changes to the By-Laws that were approved at this August PCPB meeting not be submitted to Development Services until after the October PCPB meeting in order to allow two more months for a continued review of the By-Laws and for any other proposals for further revisions to the By-Laws was seconded and approved MSP (11 HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, MR) – (2 PN, PW) – (0).

- D. **PCPB Airport Subcommittee** – P. Webb 1) noted that he was going to present Mr. Ziebarth’s name for addition to the subcommittee, but did not have a resume for Mr. Ziebarth, so that would be tabled for the immediate, but also, the mission statement was ready to present. A motion by P. Nystrom to approve the subcommittee mission statement was seconded and approved MSP (12 – HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (1 JR – had briefly left the room during the presentation and had not heard/seen the mission statement); 2) SDIA ALUCP over-flight & air space protection zones were being reviewed to potentially expand into most of Mission Bay, Mission Beach, much of PL, including the Famosa Slough, and into the Bay where “bird attracting” would not be permitted – and that proposed correspondence to address concerns with these changes would be drafted and presented at the next PCPB meeting; and 3) the SDCRAA Advisory Committee composition was being reduced from approximately 60 seats to around 16 seats and a concern was that there would be only 2 undefined “community members” on the new committee – so correspondence was drafted to suggest that one of those community members be from an area East of the Airport and the other from West of the Airport – and a motion by P. Nystrom to approve and send the letter was seconded and approved MSP (13 HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (0).
- E. **Naval Base Point Loma environmental concern letter** – N. Allenby noted that Jim Gilhooly had brought this issue to the Environment / Water subcommittee and yielded the microphone to Mr. Gilhooly to present the request for approval of draft correspondence regarding the issue of a jet fuel pipeline that runs from the Naval Base Point Loma to the Marine Corps Air Station Miramar. Mr. Gilhooly noted that he had attended a meeting the previous evening where the new Commander of Naval Base Point Loma was in attendance and it seemed to him that the Commander had seen the proposed draft correspondence – and Mr. Gilhooly asked if the PCPB Chair had provided a copy of the draft correspondence to the Commander prior to Board approval of the correspondence. This led to a discussion as to whether or not this and other actions were permissible under the PCPB By-Laws. After a discussion, a motion by N. Graham to establish an Ad Hoc subcommittee to investigate whether or not providing draft correspondence to the Commander of Naval Base Point Loma and also meeting with the City Planning and Community Investment department regarding proposed By-Law changes were permissible under the PCPB By-Laws was seconded and approved MSP (9 HK, RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, AJ, PW) – (3 JS, PN, MR) – (1 NA – reason not obtained). **As the discussion continued into what process the Ad Hoc subcommittee would follow and who would be on the Ad Hoc subcommittee, the PCPB Chair (S. Khalil) announced that he was resigning from the PCPB and left the meeting at approximately 8:48 pm. At this point – the PCPB’s First Vice Chair, H. Kinnaird, assumed the Chair position to continue the meeting.** In noting that the person (S. Khalil) whose actions would have been investigated by the Ad Hoc subcommittee had just resigned, a motion by G. Page to NOT form the Ad Hoc subcommittee and to NOT perform an investigation was seconded and passed MSP (10 RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, AJ, PN, PW) – (2 NA, MR) – (0). The discussion then turned to what to do with the proposed correspondence (which was addressed to the Navy, to several elected officials, and to a few environmental organizations). A motion by P. Webb to invite the Navy to make a presentation to the PCPB on the Jet Fuel Pipeline and to not send the proposed correspondence to the addressees until such Navy presentation had been made to the PCPB was seconded and approved MSP (11 RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (1 NA – reason not obtained).
- F. **AT&T Catalina Water Tank (DSD PTS #233839)** – Kerrin Diehl presented the request to attach new wireless communication antennas on the side of the water tank on Catalina Blvd. A discussion ensued, after which a motion by A. Jones to approve the request as presented was seconded and approved MSP (12 RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (0).

- G. **AT&T Point Loma Nazarene University SCR (SCR PTS #232356)** – Kerrin Diehl presented a request to modify an existing installation of wireless communication antennas from 4 to 6 units and 2 cabinets on the PLNU campus. Questions were asked and a discussion ensued. A motion by A. Jones to approve the request as presented was seconded and approved MSP (11 RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JS, NA, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (1 JR) – (0).
- H. **Sunset Cliffs Natural Park Comprehensive Drainage Ad Hoc subcommittee** – N. Allenby noted that in order to have a majority of PCPB members on the Ad Hoc subcommittee, J. Shumaker and J. Gott had offered to join the subcommittee. Mr. Allenby also noted that he had hoped to add to the subcommittee Megan Ekard, Director, Community Outreach and Governmental Relations for PLNU, but he had not obtained the currently required resume for Ms. Ekard. A motion by G. Page to approve adding J. Shumaker and J. Gott to the Ad Hoc subcommittee was seconded and approved MSP (11 RM, JG, NG, PC, GP, JR, JS, AJ, PN, MR, PW) – (0) – (1 NA – reason not obtained).

I. Information Items:

- A. **Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant, Grit Processing Building** – Iraj Asgharzadeh, Senior Civil Engineer, Architectural Engineering & Parks Division, Engineering and Capital Projects, City of San Diego, provided information regarding the project to reconstruct the south grit tanks, replace the head-works building with a drive-thru facility and add new grit processing equipment to the waste treatment plant at the tip of Point Loma. By next summer, a maximum of 8 to 10 truck transports per day of demolition debris (and usually probably in the 4 to 5 truck loads per day) should be in process. Trucks will not run during the rush hours and they will endeavor to minimize impact upon the community. Target completion for the project is Spring 2014. Questions were asked and a discussion ensued.
- C. **Community Planning & Community Investment** – Maxx Stalheim, CPCI / Development Services, provided an update on the department, noting that their offices would be moving to 1222 First Avenue, 4th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 and will now be under the direction of Kelly Broughton, Director of Development Services; phone numbers and email addresses will remain unchanged. Mr. Stalheim also provided a bit of information on the Ocean Beach General Plan review and the EIR scoping time line (which targets having it out by May 2012 and up for approval by July 2012 – which is probably somewhat aggressive).
- D. **Assembly member 76th District, Tony Atkins office** – Tim Orozco, Field Representative for Assembly member Atkins introduced himself to the Board and audience and noted that he will be attempting to attend the PCPB meetings on a regular basis. His email address is Tim.Orozco@asm.ca.gov.

IV. Subcommittee Presentations:

- A. Form Base (Long Range Planning) – J. Shumaker – noted that Kyle Peterson and John Martinez had met the previous evening with a few members of the community to obtain input regarding aspects of the community that participants liked, disliked, and would like to see.
- B. Parks and Recreation – M. Ryan – no update.
- C. Project Review – A. Jones – noted that the Point Loma Summit on La Crescentia Dr. and the Peeling property on Harbor View Drive were currently on the agenda for next month's Project Review meeting.
- D. Airport Authority – P. Webb noted his comments were already made earlier in the meeting.
- E. Traffic & Transportation – P. Nystrom – no update
- F. Environment / Water – N. Allenby – no update
- G. North Bay Redevelopment PAC – J. Ross – noted that a recycling event was scheduled for Saturday, August 27th at Sea World for the disposal of electronic equipment.
- H. Liberty Station / NTC – P. Clark – no updated

V. Adjournment: 9:35 pm

Next PCPB monthly meeting 3701 Voltaire **September 15, 2011** at 6:30 PM Pt. Loma Hervey Library.

Airport Noise Compliance 619-400-2799
Neighborhood Code Compliance 619-236-5500

Prepared by: John Gott, Secretary
 FINAL: September 15, 2011