



April 29, 2026

Peninsula Community Planning Board  
1220 Rosecrans Street PMB 549  
San Diego, CA 92106  
pcpbsd@gmail.com

**Mayor Todd Gloria**  
**San Diego City Councilmembers**  
**San Diego City Independent Budget Analyst**

**Re: 2027 Mayor's Draft San Diego City Budget – Oppose**

Dear Mayor, Councilmembers, and Independent Budget Analyst,

The Peninsula Community Planning Board has reviewed the 2027 Draft Budget and is alarmed by the proposed budget. With the recent hiring of high-paid employees and questionable expenditures, we are concerned about the final budget, especially since millions in new funding from trash, parking, and other fee increases still requires so many cuts. We are also concerned about the severe cuts to libraries, the arts, and youth programs and suggest additional personnel savings must be found to lessen the pain.

**Therefore, the PCPB opposes the proposed budget.**

San Diegans continue to struggle with a rising cost of living due to high housing, utility, grocery, transportation and health care costs that has substantially stressed household budgets. San Diego's cost of living is 44% above the national average and increased 3.2% from the prior year according to the San Diego Consumer Price Index. This was exacerbated by recent city-imposed parking and trash collection fees including those for Balboa Park parking and trash collection on single family homes that are included in the Budget.

The PCPB believes that the steadily increasing cost of city government should not be borne solely on the backs of taxpayers but be borne equitably between the city staff and residents. It is time for city government to incur its share through such measures as staff, compensation, and benefit reductions, department consolidations, and cuts to city programs. The 2027 budget proposal follows these guidelines but should go further to address the long-term structural imbalance whereby expenses rise faster than revenues which is projected to happen over the next five years thereby necessitating further cuts and tax/fee increases.

The city must take further steps to address the long-term imbalance and restore sustainability:

- Review all staff wages, overtime, and fringe benefits including pensions, to reduce compensation to more sustainable levels. According to the State Controller's Office-total wages and fringe benefit costs have risen substantially over the past 10 years, while city employment and population have grown at a much slower pace. Employee fringe benefits are in the 65% range where the average Californian receives 30 to 31%. Four city employees are reported to have 2024 total wages (including overtime) exceeding \$400,000 per year which exceeded the wages of the President of the United States. Ten city employees made over \$375,651 with seven of the ten concentrated in the police and fire/rescue departments. Average wages in the

Police Department were \$129,997 with an additional \$26,329 of retirement and health care benefits for average total compensation of \$156,326. Similar results were shown in the fire department. This is unsustainable and must be reduced by reducing wages, overtime and fringe benefits to higher-earning employees.

- Conduct more fiscally sustainable labor negotiations. The San Diego Union Tribune (UT) reports that the Municipal Employees Association's tentative agreement includes a 2% raise in 2027, 3.5% in 2028 and 4% in 2029. One-week furloughs would be required during the first and second years offsetting the first year raises by reducing services, but only partially offsetting the cost increase in subsequent years until the full burden is manifested in future years through increased government costs. The salary increases are permanent, but the furlough savings are temporary. The UT reports this will likely serve as a blueprint for all city employee labor agreements during current labor negotiations. The PCPB rejects these types of budget gimmicks and recommends that all city employee salaries and benefits be frozen during the 2027-28 fiscal year with no furloughs, as a contribution to maintaining service levels and fiscal sustainability. If furloughs cannot be avoided to balance the budget, then they should not be accompanied by salary and benefit increases.

Mayor Gloria has taken steps in the budget to reduce some high-cost middle management compensation and discretionary city programs, but it must go further. The Mayor and Council are under pressure to restore cuts in arts, culture, parks/recreation, and youth programs and may opt to restore cuts to those programs. We suggest a partial restoration with further staff reductions. Also, recent parking and trash fee increases are being challenged by potential ballot initiatives and may be overturned thereby reducing revenues. If any of these occur, then PCPB recommends that they be funded by additional cuts to city staff, compensation and benefits, or use furloughs or other service level cuts to balance the budget. You should not impose further tax and fee increases on an already overburdened citizenry.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric Law, Chair

Peninsula Community Planning Board

cc:

Victoria Labruzzo, Chair, Community Planning Committee